

I like to do calculus using *differentials*. Differentials and the related *differential forms* are often used in applications, especially (but not only) to physics. The official textbook originally covers differentials incompletely and only in one minor application; it then uses them again for integration, primarily as a notational convenience. But they are useful for much more. Now is the time to explain what they are.

Notation and terminology

If u is a variable quantity, then du is the **differential** of u . You can think of du as indicating an infinitely small (infinitesimal) change in the value of u , or the amount by which u changes when an infinitesimal change is made. A precise definition appears later in these notes.

Note that du is *not* d times u , and du is also *not* exactly a function of u . Rather, u (being a *variable* quantity) should itself be a function of some other quantities x, y, \dots , and du is also a function of some quantities; so d is an *operator*: something that turns one function into another function. (However, an expression like $v du$ does involve multiplication: it is the quantity v multiplied by the differential of u .)

We often divide one differential by another; for example, $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is the result of dividing the differential of y by the differential of x . The textbook introduces this notation early to stand for the *derivative* of y with respect to x , and indeed it is that; but what the book doesn't tell you is that $\frac{dy}{dx}$ literally is dy divided by dx . Unfortunately, $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$, the second derivative, is *not* literally $d^2y = d(dy)$ divided by $dx^2 = (dx)^2$;

for this reason, I prefer the notation $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 y = \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{d}{dx} y\right) = \frac{d(dy/dx)}{dx}$.

Differentials and the rules of differentiation

One sometimes sees the Chain Rule expressed as

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \cdot \frac{du}{dx},$$

but the Chain Rule is a nontrivial fact that cannot be proved by simply cancelling factors. I prefer to state the Chain Rule as

$$df(u) = f'(u) du;$$

the point is that the *same* function f' appears regardless of which argument u we use.

Even this is more abstract than how the Chain Rule is applied. For example, suppose that you have discovered (say from the definition as a limit) that the derivative of $f(x) = \sin x$ is $f'(x) = \cos x$. Since $f'(x)$ may be defined as $\frac{df(x)}{dx}$, this derivative can be expressed in differential form without even bothering to name the functions involved:

$$d(\sin x) = \cos x dx.$$

Once you know this, you know something even more general:

$$d(\sin u) = \cos u du$$

for any other differentiable quantity u ; the Chain Rule is the power to derive this equation from the previous one. Thus, using $u = x^2$ (to continue the example),

$$d(\sin(x^2)) = \cos(x^2) d(x^2) = \cos(x^2)(2x dx) = 2x \cos(x^2) dx.$$

You may now divide both sides of this equation by dx if you wish, but the basic calculation involves only rules for differentials.

For the record, here are the rules for differentiation that you should already know, expressed using differentials:

- The Constant Rule: $dK = 0$ if K is constant.
- The Sum Rule: $d(u + v) = du + dv$.
- The Translate Rule: $d(u + C) = du$ if C is constant.
- The Difference Rule: $d(u - v) = du - dv$.
- The Product Rule: $d(uv) = v du + u dv$.
- The Multiple Rule: $d(ku) = k du$ if k is constant.
- The Quotient Rule: $d\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) = \frac{v du - u dv}{v^2}$.
- The Power Rule: $d(u^n) = nu^{n-1} du$ if n is constant.
- The Exponentiation Rule: $d(\exp u) = \exp u du$ (where $\exp u$ means e^u).
- The Logarithm Rule: $d(\ln u) = \frac{du}{u}$.
- The Sine Rule: $d(\sin u) = \cos u du$.
- The Cosine Rule: $d(\cos u) = -\sin u du$.
- The Tangent Rule: $d(\tan u) = \sec^2 u du$.
- The Cotangent Rule: $d(\cot u) = -\csc^2 u du$.
- The Secant Rule: $d(\sec u) = \tan u \sec u du$.
- The Cosecant Rule: $d(\csc u) = -\cot u \csc u du$.
- The Arcsine Rule: $d(\operatorname{asin} u) = \frac{du}{\sqrt{1-u^2}}$ (where $\operatorname{asin} u$ means $\sin^{-1} u$).
- The Arccosine Rule: $d(\operatorname{acos} u) = -\frac{du}{\sqrt{1-u^2}}$.
- The Arctangent Rule: $d(\operatorname{atan} u) = \frac{du}{u^2+1}$.
- The Arccotangent Rule: $d(\operatorname{acot} u) = -\frac{du}{u^2+1}$.
- The Arcsecant Rule: $d(\operatorname{asec} u) = \frac{du}{|u|\sqrt{u^2-1}}$.
- The Arccosecant Rule: $d(\operatorname{acsc} u) = -\frac{du}{|u|\sqrt{u^2-1}}$.
- The Chain Rule: $d(f(u)) = f'(u) du$ if f is a function of one variable that's differentiable at u .
- The First Fundamental Theorem of Calculus: $d\left(\int_u^v f(t) dt\right) = f(v) dv - f(u) du$ if f is a function of one variable that's continuous between u and v .

The last one might not be familiar to you in such a general form, but it can be handy.

Notice that every one of the rules above turns the differential on the left into a sum of terms (possibly only one term, or none in the case of the Constant Rule), each of which is an ordinary expression multiplied by a differential (or something algebraically equivalent to this). You should recognize this as a kind of *differential form*; more precisely, these are *exterior differential 1-forms* or *linear differential 1-forms*.

Here is an example of how to use the rules, step by step, to find a differential. Specifically, I'll find the differential of $x^2y + \sin(z^2)$. (In one-variable calculus, you might consider this if x , y , and z all happen to be functions of some other variable t ; but in multivariable calculus, the same calculation will apply even when the variables x , y , and z are all independent.)

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^2y + \sin(z^2)) &= d(x^2y) + d(\sin(z^2)) \\ &= y d(x^2) + x^2 dy + \cos(z^2) d(z^2) \\ &= y(2x^{2-1} dx) + x^2 dy + \cos(z^2)(2z^{2-1} dz) \\ &= 2xy dx + x^2 dy + 2z \cos(z^2) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Here I've used, in turn, the sum rule, the product and sine rules (one in one term and the other in the other term), the power rule (in two places), and finally some algebra to simplify. Of course, you can usually do this much faster; with practice, you can jump immediately to the second-to-last line by applying the next rule whenever one rule results in a differential; then you only need one more step to simplify it algebraically. Often you can even do some of the algebra in your head (like simplifying $2x^{2-1}$ to $2x$).

The definition

It's time to actually give a *definition* of du . Since d is an operator, it must be applied to a function; so we should have $u = f(R)$ (by which I mean $u = f(x, y)$, $u = f(x, y, z)$, or whatever) for some function f . Recall that the function f is **differentiable** at the point P if there exists a row vector $\nabla f(P)$ such that, for every differentiable parametrized curve C and real number a , if $C(a)$ exists and equals P , then the composite function $f \circ C$ is differentiable at a and furthermore $(f \circ C)'(a) = \nabla f(P) \cdot C'(a)$. Note that ∇f is a vector field defined wherever f is differentiable, called the **gradient** of f . (The symbol ' ∇ ' is variously pronounced 'Atled', 'Nabla', and 'Del'; people also write $\text{grad } f$ for ∇f .)

If $u = f(R)$ and f is differentiable, then we write

$$du = \nabla f(R) \cdot dR = \nabla f(R) \cdot d\mathbf{r},$$

where \mathbf{r} is R minus the origin, as usual. If you think of ∇f as a derivative of f , then this is simply taking the Chain Rule as a definition. There are two good things about this definition of du . First of all, all of the usual rules of differentiation are actually true of it; because the definition ultimately refers to ordinary functions, we can prove each rule in the list on page 2 by using the corresponding result for ordinary functions. The other good thing about this definition is that when we evaluate a differential at a given point and vector, then the result is one of the derivatives $(f \circ C)'(a)$ that appear in the definition above.

Specifically, fixing a point P and a vector \mathbf{v} , let $C(t) = P + t\mathbf{v}$; then C is a differentiable curve with $C(0) = P$ and $C'(0) = \mathbf{v}$, so

$$du \Big|_{\substack{R=P \\ dR=\mathbf{v}}} = \nabla f(P) \cdot \mathbf{v} = \nabla f(C(0)) \cdot C'(0) = (f \circ C)'(0)$$

when $u = f(R)$. If \mathbf{v} happens to be a unit vector (a *direction*), then $\nabla f(P) \cdot \mathbf{v}$ is called the **directional derivative** of f at P in the direction of \mathbf{v} . In general, the directional derivative in the direction of \mathbf{v} is $\nabla f(P) \cdot \mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|$; however, some people use the term 'directional derivative' for $\nabla f(P) \cdot \mathbf{v}$ in the general case (since it's important but there is no standard name for it), so be careful. In particular, the directional derivatives parallel to the coordinate axes—that is $\nabla f(P) \cdot \mathbf{i}$, $\nabla f(P) \cdot \mathbf{j}$, and (in 3 dimensions) $\nabla f(P) \cdot \mathbf{k}$ —are called the **partial derivatives** of f at P .

Partial derivatives

The partial derivatives can be viewed from another perspective. If $f(x, y, z)$ (for example) can be expressed using the usual operations (and possibly even if it cannot), then its differential will come out as

$$df(x, y, z) = f_1(x, y, z) dx + f_2(x, y, z) dy + f_3(x, y, z) dz$$

for some functions f_1 , f_2 , and f_3 . These functions are the **partial derivatives** of f . Since subscripts can be used for many things, a better notation for f_1 , f_2 , and f_3 is D_1f , D_2f , and D_3f (respectively); compare the notation Df for f' that is sometimes used in single-variable Calculus. For example, if $f(x, y, z) = x^2y + \sin(z^2)$, then

$$df(x, y, z) = d(x^2y + \sin(z^2)) = 2xy dx + x^2 dy + 2z \cos(z^2) dz$$

(as I calculated earlier), so

$$\begin{aligned} D_1f(x, y, z) &= 2xy, \\ D_2f(x, y, z) &= x^2, \text{ and} \\ D_3f(x, y, z) &= 2z \cos(z^2). \end{aligned}$$

If instead we write u for $f(x, y, z)$, then we have a different notation for the coefficients on the differentials:

$$du = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{y,z} dx + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)_{x,z} dy + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)_{x,y} dz.$$

So for example, if $u = x^2y + \sin(z^2)$, then

$$du = d(x^2y + \sin(z^2)) = 2xy dx + x^2 dy + 2z \cos(z^2) dz$$

again, so

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{y,z} &= 2xy, \\ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)_{x,z} &= x^2, \text{ and} \\ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)_{x,y} &= 2z \cos(z^2). \end{aligned}$$

This $\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{y,z}$ is the **partial derivative** of u with respect to x , fixing y and z , which tells you how much u changes relative to the change in x as long as y and z remain the same. All of the information in this notation is necessary to avoid ambiguity, but in practice people usually write simply $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$, call this simply the partial derivative of u with respect to x , and expect you to guess from context what other variables are remaining fixed.

Of course, people also mix notation for f with notation for u , writing $D_x f$, f_x , $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$, and so on, as well as u_x , u_1 , $D_1 u$, and so on. Technically, notation with numbers makes sense only when applied to the name of a function, because the arguments of that function come in a specific order; while notation referring to the variables used does *not* make sense when applied to the name of a function, since one could use any variables as the arguments of the function (although it does make sense when applied to an expression such as $f(x, y, z)$, in which these variables have been specified). In practice, however, people usually use the variables x, y, z in that order; then there is no confusion.

If f is a function of (say) 3 variables, then the definition of differential states that

$$df(x, y, z) = \nabla f(x, y, z) \cdot d(x, y, z) = \nabla f(x, y, z) \cdot \langle dx, dy, dz \rangle;$$

meanwhile, the definition of partial derivative above states that

$$\begin{aligned} df(x, y, z) &= D_1 f(x, y, z) dx + D_2 f(x, y, z) dy + D_3 f(x, y, z) dz \\ &= \langle D_1 f(x, y, z), D_2 f(x, y, z), D_3 f(x, y, z) \rangle \cdot \langle dx, dy, dz \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = \langle D_1 f(x, y, z), D_2 f(x, y, z), D_3 f(x, y, z) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial f(x, y, z)}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f(x, y, z)}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f(x, y, z)}{\partial z} \right\rangle.$$

Put more simply,

$$\nabla f = \langle D_1 f, D_2 f, D_3 f \rangle,$$

or even

$$\nabla = \langle D_1, D_2, D_3 \rangle.$$

The value of this is that the gradient has the same information as the differential. The differential is the more useful concept for calculation, although the gradient appears in the definition of differentiability and, if we have a geometric notion of length available to allow us to think of row vectors (such as the gradient) as the same as column vectors (the usual ones, going between points), then the gradient is easier to visualize.